

### **REMARKS**

The Office Action dated June 20, 2008 has been received and carefully noted. The above amendments to the claims, and the following remarks, are submitted as a full and complete response thereto.

Claims 42, 49, 51, 61, 80, and 83 have been amended to more particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of the invention. Claims 62-79 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. New claims 84-89 have been added. No new matter has been added. Claims 42-61 and 80-89 are currently pending in the application and are respectfully submitted for consideration.

The Office Action objected to claims 42-79 because the limitation of “checking requirements of message or set of messages or session,” as recited in claim 42, should allegedly be changed to “checking requirements of message or message set or session set up request,” to be consistent with the first limitation in the claim. Applicants respectfully traverse this objection for the following reasons.

Applicants respectfully submit that claim 42 intentionally recites “checking requirements of message or set of messages or session from the message or message set or session set up request.” In other words, the message or set of messages or session for which the requirements are checked do not necessarily refer back to the “message or message set or session setup request” recited in the first clause of the claim. Therefore, in order to clarify this distinction, Applicants have amended claims 42 and 61 to recite “checking requirements of a message or set of messages or session from the message or

message set or session set up request.” Applicants therefore respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of this objection.

Claims 80-83 were indicated as being allowed. In addition, claims 42-79 were indicated as being allowable once the claim objection discussed above was overcome. Applicants submit that all of the issues raised in the Office Action have been addressed and overcome. The Office Action did not include any prior art rejections. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that all of claims 42-61 and 80-89 are in condition for allowance. As such, Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

If for any reason the Examiner determines that the application is not now in condition for allowance, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner contact, by telephone, the applicants' undersigned representative at the indicated telephone number to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this application.

In the event this paper is not being timely filed, the applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. Any fees for such an extension together with any additional fees may be charged to Counsel's Deposit Account 50-2222.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Majid S. AlBassam  
Registration No. 54,749

**Customer No. 32294**  
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY LLP  
14<sup>TH</sup> Floor  
8000 Towers Crescent Drive  
Vienna, Virginia 22182-6212  
Telephone: 703-720-7800  
Fax: 703-720-7802

MSA:jf